

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/667,363 09/23/2003		Claudio Giorda	Q77674	9199			
23373	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER			
	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				ADDISU, SARA		
SUITE 800	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20037		3722	-		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	Λ
X	1

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,363	GIORDA, CLAUDIO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sara Addisu	3722	

Defense the Filing of an Annual Duief	. 0, 00, , 000	0.01.071, 02.10010					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sara Addisu	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		to the first of our discount					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	200100				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow).	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L bolowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other: MONICA S. C. MONICA CARTER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	arte						
MONICA CARTER		5,0					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	MINER	5.0					
		10/ 2/00					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

 $\label{lem:continuation} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Continuation of 3. NOTE:} \\ \textbf{Independent claims 1 and 5 include limitations that raise new issue that require further searching.} \end{tabular}$